

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 08 NOV 2004

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference P4059PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)																									
International application No. PCT/SE 03/00585	International filing date (day/month/year) 11.04.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.04.2002																								
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A63B17/02																										
Applicant SJURAGARI, OLI H.																										
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of sheets.</p>																										
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table><tr><td>I</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Basis of the opinion</td></tr><tr><td>II</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Priority</td></tr><tr><td>III</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td></tr><tr><td>IV</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Lack of unity of invention</td></tr><tr><td>V</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td></tr><tr><td>VI</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Certain documents cited</td></tr><tr><td>VII</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Certain defects in the international application</td></tr><tr><td>VIII</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Certain observations on the international application</td></tr></table>			I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basis of the opinion	II	<input type="checkbox"/>	Priority	III	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lack of unity of invention	V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	VI	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain documents cited	VII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain defects in the international application	VIII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain observations on the international application
I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basis of the opinion																								
II	<input type="checkbox"/>	Priority																								
III	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																								
IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lack of unity of invention																								
V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																								
VI	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain documents cited																								
VII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain defects in the international application																								
VIII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain observations on the international application																								
Date of submission of the demand 03.11.2003	Date of completion of this report 05.11.2004																									
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Squeri, M Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8417 																									

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/SE 03/00585

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-11 as published

Claims, Numbers

1-12 as published

Drawings, Sheets

1/2-2/2 as published

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/SE 03/00585

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-12
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-12
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-12
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/SE 03/00585

1. Reference is made to the following documents
D1: US-A-41 53244
D2: WO-AI -8800850
D3: US-A-5762593
D4: US-A-5082260
D5: WO-AI-9964114
D6: US-A-4932653
D7: US-A-3857561
D8: US-A-5221 246
D9: US-A-461 1806
D10: US-A-4850589
D11: US-A-4341378
D12: US-A-3521881
D13: US-A-4638995
2. The document D1 discloses all the features of claim 1 (cf. D1: first stand 12, second stand 12, elongated element 12, projecting element 12 and bow 34). The subject-matter of claim 1, therefore, is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
3. Each of the documents D2-D12, considered independently from D1, is also considered to destroy the novelty of claim 1 (see figures).
4. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the exercising device disclosed in document D13 only in that the two handles 34 are joined as a connecting portion.

This feature, however, is considered to be merely one of few straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill.
Claim 1 is not inventive over D13 (Article 33(3) PCT).
5. Dependent claims 2-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.